IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 23945 of 2004**

Between:

P.Narayana E-37144, S/o.late Laxmaiah
Mahaboobnagar, Mahaboobnagar Dist.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The APSRTC rep by its Managing Director
Musheerabad, Hyderabad.
2 The APSRTC rep by its Regional Manager
Mahaboobnagar Region, Mahaboobnagar Dist.
3 The Depot Manager APSRTC
Gadwal, Mahabobnagar Dist.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondents herein in not paying the due terminal benefits and the difference of wages of the petitioner as illegal, unjust and arbitrary and as such liable to be set a side in the interest of justice by further directing the respondents to pay the arrears of difference of wages forthwith and pass such other order or orders.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.M.SUBHAN**

**Counsel for the Respondents: MR.P.VINAYAKA SWAMY**

**The Court at the admission stage, made the following:**

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU**

WRIT PETITION NO: 23945 of 2004

**ORDER:**

For not paying the terminal benefits due and also difference of wages to the petitioner, the present writ petition is filed.

**2.** The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that in spite of giving a representation to the respondent-Corporation, the same was not considered.

**3.** On the other hand, the learned standing counsel for the respondent-Corporation contended that the respondents have to decide whether the petitioner is entitled for any terminal benefits and difference of wages, in accordance with rules and regulations of the Corporation.

**4.** Without entering into the merits, since the representation of the petitioner dated 10.02.2003 is pending with the respondent-Corporation, I am of the view that it is appropriate to direct the respondent-Corporation to pass appropriate orders on the said representation.

**5.** Therefore, the respondent-Corporation is directed to take appropriate decision and pass necessary orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 10.02.2003 in accordance with rules and regulations of the Corporation, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

**6.** Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

---

22nd December, 2004. K.C.BHANU, J

SKM

TO

1 The Managing Director, APSRTC,

Musheerabad, Hyderabad.

2 The Regional Manager, APSRTC,
Mahaboobnagar Region, Mahaboobnagar Dist.

3 The Depot Manager APSRTC
Gadwal, Mahabobnagar Dist.

4 Two C.D. Copies.